

①

④

SINGLE BENCH

cf1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 338 /2005

PETITIONER

P.R. No. 224/07
Presented by Achwan Shukla
9/1/07

Gurudas Mahant, Son of Shri
Sukrit Das Mahant, Aged about
44 years, Assistant Teacher,
Government Primary School
Lotan, Block Dharamjaigarh,
Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Education,
Mantralaya, D.K.S Bhawan
Raipur (C.G.).
2. The District Education Officer,
District Raigarh (C.G.).
3. The Block Education Officer,
Block Dharamjaigarh, District
Raigarh (C.G.).



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN
NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY OR ANY OTHER
WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

(20)

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) No. 338 सन् 2007

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>22.1.2007</u> Mr. Ashwini Shukla, counsel for the petitioners. Miss Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in W.P. (s) No. 337 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.	Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge

Subbu

P.T.O.

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 337 OF 2007

Petitioner : Mahettar Ram Kamalbanshi
versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 338 OF 2007

Petitioner : Gurudas Mahant
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ashwini Shukla, counsel for the petitioners.
Miss Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the
State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 22nd Day of January, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Panel lawyer appearing for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another*¹.
2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.5862 of 2006 (*Hemant Kumar Vishwakarma vs. State of Chhattisgarh*

and others) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 12-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.5862 of 2006 (*Hemant Kumar Vishwakarma vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired D.Ed. Certificate at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.
4. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge